arXiv:math/0407029v1 [math.CO] 2 Jul 2004

A probabilistic approach to q-polynomial coefficients, Euler and Stirling numbers

Alexander I. Il'inskii

Kharkov National University, Department of Mathematics,
4 Svobody Sqr., 61077, Kharkov, Ukraine
e-mail: Alexander.I.Iljinskii@univer.kharkov.ua

Abstract

It is known that Bernoulli scheme of independent trials with two outcomes is connected with the binomial coefficients. The aim of this paper is to indicate stochastic processes which are connected with the q-polynomial coefficients (in particular, with the q-binomial coefficients, or the Gaussian polynomials), Stirling numbers of the first and the second kind, and Euler numbers in a natural way. A probabilistic approach allows us to give very simple proofs of some identities for these coefficients.

Keywords

Gaussian polynomials, q-Polynomial coefficients, Euler numbers, Stirling numbers, Probability space, Formula of total probability.

2000 *Mathematical Subject Classification*: 05A30, 05A19, 11B65, 11B68, 11B73.

1 Introduction

The connection of binomial coefficients $\binom{n}{k}$ with Bernoulli scheme of independent trials is well known. To be more specific, let $x \in (0,1)$, n be a positive integer, $\mathcal{E}$ be a trial with two outcomes 0 and 1 with probabilities in a single trial being equal to $1-x$ and x, respectively. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be repeated n times under the condition that every outcome of any trial is independent of outcomes of all other trials. Let $\mathbf{1}\binom{n}{k}$ denote an event such that the outcome 1 has happened k times in n repetitions of the trial $\mathcal{E}$. Then the probability $P(\mathbf{1}\binom{n}{k})$ of this event equals

$$P(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{n}{k}) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}.$$

The aim of this paper is to construct random processes which are connected with q-polynomial coefficients

$$\begin{bmatrix} i_1+i_2+\ldots+i_m \\ i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{(q)_{i_1+i_2+\ldots+i_m}}{(q)_{i_1}(q)_{i_2}\ldots(q)_{i_m}}, \tag{1.1}$$

(where $(q)_j := (1-q)(1-q^2)\ldots(1-q^j)$ for $j \in \mathbf{N}$, $(q)_0 := 1$), in particular, q-binomial coefficients (or Gaussian polynomials). The probabilistic approach gives very simple proofs of some identities for q-polynomial coefficients.

We apply an analogues approach to Stirling numbers of the first and the second kind $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{Bmatrix} n \\ k \end{Bmatrix}$ and Euler numbers $\left\langle {n \atop k} \right\rangle$ (we follow notation used in [3], Chapter 6).

In this paper, we introduce numbers associated with Euler and Stirling numbers and obtain some identities for them.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we consider q-binomial coefficients. We consider this special case of q-polynomial coefficients separately for the convenience of readers.

Section 3 is devoted to q-polynomial coefficients. We consider the case $m = 3$ in (1.1) only. The general case is obvious after that.

In Sections 4, 5, 6, we consider Stirling numbers of the second and the first kind and Euler numbers, respectively.

In the beginning of each section we define a probability space or a space equipped with a weight which is connected with corresponding coefficients in a natural way (see Theorems 2.1, 3.1, 4.3, 5.2, 6.2). Using probabilistic arguments we give very simple proofs of some identities for these coefficients (see Theorems 2.2, 2.3, 3.2, 3.3, 4.4, 5.3, 6.3). We also introduce a notion of coefficients associated with Stirling and Euler numbers and deduce some identities for them (see Theorems 4.5, 5.4, 6.4).

This study has been stimulated by the paper [4].

As usual, $\mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ and $\mathbf{N_0} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ denote the sets of positive integers and nonnegative integers, respectively. We use the following notation (see [1], Chapter 3):

$$\begin{aligned} (x;q)_n &:= (1-x)(1-qx)\cdots(1-q^{n-1}x) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbf{N}\,, \quad (x;q)_0 := 1\,; \\ (q)_n &:= (q;q)_n\,. \end{aligned}$$

The identity

$$(x;q)_m \cdot (q^m x;q)_n = (x;q)_{m+n} \tag{1.2}$$

will be useful in the sequel.

We recall that Stirling numbers of the first and the second kind $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{Bmatrix} n \\ k \end{Bmatrix}$, and Euler numbers $\left\langle {n \atop k} \right\rangle$ may be defined for $n \in \mathbf{N_0}$ and integer k such that $0 \le k \le n$ as numbers which equal 1 if $n = k = 0$, and 0, if $k < 0$ or $k > n$, and satisfy the following recurrence identities (see [3], Section 6.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} + (n-1)\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}\,, \\ \begin{Bmatrix} n \\ k \end{Bmatrix} &= \begin{Bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{Bmatrix} + k\begin{Bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{Bmatrix}\,, \\ \left\langle {n \atop k} \right\rangle &= (n-k)\left\langle {n-1 \atop k-1} \right\rangle + (k+1)\left\langle {n-1 \atop k} \right\rangle\,, \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

respectively.

We remind some elementary probabilistic concepts which we use in this paper (see, for example, [2]). A *probability space* is a pair (Ω, p) where Ω is a finite set (it is called a *sample space*) and p is a function on Ω (it is called a *probability*) such that $p(\omega) \geq 0$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and $\sum_{\omega\in\Omega} p(\omega) = 1$. Every set $A \subset \Omega$ is said to be an *event*. The *probability of an event* A is defined by $P(A) = \sum_{\omega\in A} p(\omega)$. If $A, B \subset \Omega$ and $P(B) \neq 0$, then $P(A|B) := P(A \cap B)/P(B)$ is said to be the *conditional probability* of A given B. The formula $P(A \cap B) = P(B)P(A|B)$ is called *the multiplication theorem of probability*. We say that events A_l, $l = 1, 2, \ldots L$, form a *partition* of a sample space Ω if A_l are mutually exclusive and $\cup_{l=1}^{L} A_l = \Omega$. In that case, *the formula of total probability* is valid:

$$P(B) = \sum_{l=1}^{L} P(B|\, A_l) P(A_l)\,.$$

We also use the following notation: $i_{(k)} := \underbrace{i, i, \ldots, i}_{k}$ for $k \in \mathbf{N}$.

2 q-binomial coefficients (Gaussian polynomials)

Let x and q be arbitrary real numbers from the interval $(0, 1)$, n be a positive integer.

We will construct a special stochastic process. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a trial with two outcomes 0 and 1. We consider a sequence of n trials $\mathcal{E}$. We take the probability of outcomes 0 and 1 in the first trial $\mathcal{E}$ to be equal $1 - x$ and x, respectively. Assume that the trial $\mathcal{E}$ is repeated m times and the outcome 0 has occurred j times where $0 \leq j \leq m$. Then we take the probability of 0 and 1 in the $(m+1)^{th}$ repetition of $\mathcal{E}$ to be $1 - q^j x$ and $q^j x$, respectively.

A mathematical model of this sequence of n trials $\mathcal{E}$ is the probability space $(\Omega_n, p_{x,q,n})$ where

$$\Omega_n := \{\omega = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_n) : \varepsilon_k = 0 \text{ or } 1, k = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}\,,$$

the probability $p_{x,q,n}(\omega)$ of an elementary event $\omega = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_n)$ is equal to

$$p_{x,q,n}(\omega) = p_{x,q,n}((\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_n)) = f_1 \cdot f_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot f_n\,, \tag{2.1}$$

where $f_1 = x$, if $\varepsilon_1 = 1$, $f_1 = 1 - x$, if $\varepsilon_1 = 0$, and for every integer m $(1 \leq m \leq n - 1)$,

$$f_{m+1} = \begin{cases} q^j x\,, & \text{if } \varepsilon_{m+1} = 1\,, \\ 1 - q^j x\,, & \text{if } \varepsilon_{m+1} = 0\,, \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

where $j = \#\{l : 1 \leq l \leq m\,, \varepsilon_l = 0\}$. The probability of an event $A \subset \Omega_n$ is defined by

$$P_{x,q,n}(A) := \sum_{\omega\in A} p_{x,q,n}(\omega)\,. \tag{2.3}$$

For the sake of brevity, sometimes we write P instead of $P_{x,q,n}$. It is not difficult to see that $P_{x,q,n}(A) = P_{x,q,m}(A)$ if $m < n$ and the event $A \subset \Omega_n$ does not depend on the repetitions of the trial $\mathcal{E}$ with numbers $m+1, m+2, \ldots, n$, that is A satisfies the following condition: if $(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_m, \varepsilon_{m+1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_n) \in A$, then $(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_m, \delta_{m+1}, \ldots, \delta_n) \in A$ for all $\delta_{m+1}, \ldots, \delta_n = 0$ or 1.

Let us introduce some notation. For $i = 0, 1$ and integers m $(1 \leq m \leq n)$ and k $(0 \leq k \leq n)$ we define

$$\mathbf{i}^{(m)} := \{\omega = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots \varepsilon_n) \in \Omega_n : \varepsilon_m = i\}\,, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\mathbf{i}\tbinom{n}{k} := \{\omega = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots \varepsilon_n) \in \Omega_n : \#\{l : 1 \leq l \leq n, \varepsilon_l = i\} = k\}\,. \tag{2.5}$$

Using this notation we may write:

$$P_{x,q,n}(\mathbf{0}^{(1)}) = 1 - x\,, \qquad P_{x,q,n}(\mathbf{1}^{(1)}) = x$$

for every $n \geq 1$;

$$P_{x,q,m+1}(\mathbf{1}^{(m+1)} |\, \mathbf{1}\tbinom{m}{k}) = q^{m-k}x\,, \quad P_{x,q,m+1}(\mathbf{0}^{(m+1)} |\, \mathbf{1}\tbinom{m}{k}) = 1 - q^{m-k}x$$

for every $m \geq 1$. It should be pointed out that the exponent of the power q^{m-k} is equal to the number of all trials which lead to outcome 0.

We give now the probabilities of some elementary events ω such that $\omega \in \mathbf{1}\tbinom{n}{k}$:

$$\begin{aligned} p((1_{(k)}, 0_{(n-k)})) &= x^k (x;q)_{n-k}\,, \\ p((0_{(n-k)}, 1_{(k)})) &= x^k (x;q)_{n-k} q^{k(n-k)}\,, \\ p((0, 1, 0, 1_{(k-1)}, 0_{(n-k-2)})) &= x^k (x;q)_{n-k} q^{2k-1}\,. \end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to see that $p(\omega) = x^k (x;q)_{n-k} q^r$ for every $\omega \in \mathbf{1}\tbinom{n}{k}$, where r is an integer such that $0 \leq r \leq k(n-k)$. Therefore, we may write for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and integer k such that $0 \leq k \leq n$:

$$P_{x,q,n}(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{n}{k}) = x^k (x;q)_{n-k} \gamma\tbinom{n}{k}\,, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\gamma\tbinom{n}{k}$ is a polynomial in q. We define also $\gamma\tbinom{0}{0} = 1$. We will prove in the following theorem that polynomial $\gamma\tbinom{n}{k}$ coincides with the Gaussian polynomial $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $n, k \in \mathbf{N}_0$, $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $\gamma\tbinom{n}{k}$ be a polynomial in q defined by* (2.6). *Then*

$$\gamma\tbinom{n}{k} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q .$$

Proof. We introduce the following notation:

$$p\tbinom{n}{k} := p_{x,q}\tbinom{n}{k} := P_{x,q,n}(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{n}{k}) = \gamma\tbinom{n}{k} x^k (x;q)_{n-k}\,. \tag{2.7}$$

First, we prove that the following recurrence relation is valid

$$p\tbinom{n}{k} = p\tbinom{n-1}{k}(1 - q^{n-k-1}x) + p\tbinom{n-1}{k-1} q^{n-k} x\,. \tag{2.8}$$

We apply the formula of total probability. The events $\mathbf{1}\binom{n-1}{j}$ $(j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1)$ are disjoint with union Ω_n. Since $P(\mathbf{1}\binom{n}{k} |\, \mathbf{1}\binom{n-1}{j}) = 0$ for all j but $j = k-1$ and $j = k$, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} p\tbinom{n}{k} &= P(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{n}{k}) = P(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{n}{k} |\, \mathbf{1}\tbinom{n-1}{k}) P(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{n-1}{k}) + P(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{n}{k} |\, \mathbf{1}\tbinom{n-1}{k-1}) P(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{n-1}{k-1}) \\ &= P(\mathbf{0}^{(n)} |\, \mathbf{1}\tbinom{n-1}{k}) P(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{n-1}{k}) + P(\mathbf{1}^{(n)} |\, \mathbf{1}\tbinom{n-1}{k-1}) P(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{n-1}{k-1}) \\ &= (1 - q^{n-k-1}x) p\tbinom{n-1}{k} + q^{n-k} x p\tbinom{n-1}{k-1} . \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.8) that polynomials $\gamma\binom{n}{k}$ satisfy the following recurrence identity:

$$\gamma\tbinom{n}{k} = \gamma\tbinom{n-1}{k} + q^{n-k}\gamma\tbinom{n-1}{k-1}. \tag{2.9}$$

Indeed, if we insert (2.7) into (2.8) and use (1.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma\tbinom{n}{k} x^k (x;q)_{n-k} &= x q^{n-k} \gamma\tbinom{n-1}{k-1} x^{k-1} (x;q)_{(n-1)-(k-1)} \\ &\quad + (1 - q^{n-k-1}x) \gamma\tbinom{n-1}{k} x^k (x;q)_{n-1-k} \\ &= q^{n-k} \gamma\tbinom{n-1}{k-1} x^k (x;q)_{n-k} + \gamma\tbinom{n-1}{k} x^k (x;q)_{n-k} . \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by $x^k(x;q)_{n-k}$, we conclude that (2.9) is true.

Next, (2.6) yields that $\gamma\binom{n}{0} = 1$ and $\gamma\binom{n}{n} = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Therefore polynomials $\gamma\binom{i}{j}$ and Gaussian polynomials satisfy the same recurrence identity and boundary conditions. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

Thus, (2.6) can be written as

$$p_{x,q}\tbinom{n}{k} = P(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{n}{k}) = x^k (x;q)_{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q . \tag{2.10}$$

The next theorem contains new proofs of some known facts (see, for example, [1], formulas (3.3.4), (3.3.9), (3.3.10)). Our proofs are based upon probabilistic ideas and are very simple.

Theorem 2.2. 1) *If* $n, k \in \mathbf{N}_0$, $0 \le k \le n$, *then*

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q q^k + \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_q . \tag{2.11}$$

2) *If* $n \in \mathbf{N}$, *then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q q^{\binom{n-k}{2}} = 0 . \tag{2.12}$$

3) *If* $a, b, m \in \mathbf{N}_0$, *then*

$$\begin{bmatrix} a+b \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j,k \ge 0 \\ j+k=m}} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} b \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q q^{-jk} \left(q^{ak} + q^{bj} \right) . \tag{2.13}$$

4) *If* $a, b, c, m \in \mathbf{N}_0$, *then*

$$\begin{bmatrix} a+b+c \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{\substack{j,k,l\ge 0 \\ j+k+l=m}} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} b \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} c \\ l \end{bmatrix}_q q^{-(jk+kl+lj)}$$
$$\times \ (q^{a(m-j)+bl} + q^{a(m-j)+ck} + q^{b(m-k)+al} + \ q^{b(m-k)+cj} + q^{c(m-l)+bj} + q^{c(m-l)+ak}) . \quad (2.14)$$

5) *If* $m, n \in \mathbf{N}_0$, *then*

$$\begin{bmatrix} n+m+1 \\ m+1 \end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{j=0}^{n} q^j \begin{bmatrix} m+j \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q . \quad (2.15)$$

Proof. 1) First, let us prove that probabilities $p\binom{n}{k}$ satisfy the following recurrence identity

$$p_{x,q}\tbinom{n}{k} = p_{qx,q}\tbinom{n-1}{k}(1-x) + p_{x,q}\tbinom{n-1}{k-1}x . \quad (2.16)$$

(See definition of $p_{x,q}\binom{n}{k}$ in (2.10).) The events $\mathbf{0}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{1}^{(1)}$ form a partition of the sample space Ω_n. Hence, by the formula of total probability, by (2.1), and (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p_{x,q}\tbinom{n}{k} &= P_{x,q,n}(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{n}{k}) \\ &= P_{x,q,n}(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{n}{k} |\, \mathbf{0}^{(1)}) P_{x,q,n}(\mathbf{0}^{(1)}) + P_{x,q,n}(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{n}{k} |\, \mathbf{1}^{(1)}) P_{x,q,n}(\mathbf{1}^{(1)}) \\ &= P_{qx,q,n-1}(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{n-1}{k})(1-x) + P_{x,q,n-1}(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{n-1}{k-1})x \\ &= p_{qx,q}\tbinom{n-1}{k}(1-x) + p_{x,q}\tbinom{n-1}{k-1}x . \end{aligned}$$

Inserting (2.10) into (2.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q x^k (x;q)_{n-k} &= (1-x) \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q q^k x^k (qx;q)_{n-k-1} \\ &\quad + x \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}_q x^{k-1} (x;q)_{(n-1)-(k-1)} . \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.2) and dividing by $x^k(x;q)_{n-k}$, we obtain (2.11).

2) The events $\mathbf{1}\binom{n}{k}$ $(k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ form a partition of the sample space Ω_n. Therefore

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} p\tbinom{n}{k} = 1.$$

Inserting (2.10) into this formula and equating to zero coefficient of x^n in the left-hand side, we obtain (2.12).

Analogously, one can obtain identities for q-binomial coefficients equating to zero coefficients of $x^2, \ldots, x^{n-1}$.

3) The events $\mathbf{1}\binom{a}{0}, \mathbf{1}\binom{a}{1}, \mathbf{1}\binom{a}{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{1}\binom{a}{a}$ form a partition of the sample space Ω_n. The formula of total probability yields

$$P(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{a+b}{m}) = \sum_{j=0}^{a} P(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{a+b}{m} |\, \mathbf{1}\tbinom{a}{j}) P(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{a}{j}). \quad (2.17)$$

We calculate the conditional probabilities at the right-hand side of (2.17). Obviously, $P(\mathbf{1}\binom{a+b}{m}|\,\mathbf{1}\binom{a}{j})=0$, if $j>m$. For $j\le m$ we have by (2.1), (2.2), and (2.3):

$$P_{x,q,a+b}(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{a+b}{m}|\,\mathbf{1}\tbinom{a}{j})=P_{xq^{a-j},q,b}(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{b}{m-j})\,.$$

Thus, by (1.2), the j^{th} term at the right-hand side of (2.17) equals

$$\begin{aligned}&\begin{bmatrix} b \\ m-j \end{bmatrix}_q x^{m-j}q^{(a-j)(m-j)}(xq^{a-j};q)_{b-m+j}\cdot\begin{bmatrix} a \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q x^j(x;q)_{a-j}=\\&=\begin{bmatrix} b \\ m-j \end{bmatrix}_q\begin{bmatrix} a \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q x^m q^{(a-j)(m-j)}(x;q)_{a+b-m}\,.\end{aligned}\tag{2.18}$$

After substituting (2.18) into (2.17) and division by $x^m(x;q)_{a+b-m}$, we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} a+b \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q=\sum_{j=0}^{m}\begin{bmatrix} b \\ m-j \end{bmatrix}_q\begin{bmatrix} a \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q q^{(a-j)(m-j)}\,.$$

Replacing $m-j$ with k shows that

$$\begin{bmatrix} a+b \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q=\sum_{\substack{j,k\ge0\\ j+k=m}}\begin{bmatrix} a \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q\begin{bmatrix} b \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q q^{(a-j)k}\,.\tag{2.19}$$

If we first replace in (2.19) a with b and b with a, and then j with k and k with j, we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} a+b \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q=\sum_{\substack{j,k\ge0\\ j+k=m}}\begin{bmatrix} a \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q\begin{bmatrix} b \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q q^{j(b-k)}\,.\tag{2.20}$$

Summing (2.19) and (2.20), we get (2.13).

4) Let us define the events $B^{a,b}_{j,k}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}B^{a,b}_{j,k}:=\{(\varepsilon_1,\dots,\varepsilon_{a+b})\in\Omega_{a+b}:\ &\#\{l:1\le l\le a\,,\varepsilon_l=1\}=j\,,\\&\#\{l:a+1\le l\le a+b\,,\varepsilon_l=1\}=k\}\,.\end{aligned}$$

The events $B^{a,b}_{j,k}$ ($0\le j\le a$, $0\le k\le b$, $m-c\le j+k\le m$) form a partition of Ω_{a+b}. Therefore, by the formula of total probability

$$P(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{a+b+c}{m})=\sum_{\substack{0\le j\le a\\ 0\le k\le b\\ m-c\le j+k\le m}}P(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{a+b+c}{m}|\,B^{a,b}_{j,k})P(B^{a,b}_{j,k})\,,\tag{2.21}$$

where $P=P_{x,q,a+b+c}$. By the definition of the probability p on Ω_n (see (2.1), (2.2), (2.3)) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&P_{x,q,a+b+c}(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{a+b+c}{m}|\,B^{a,b}_{j,k})=P_{q^{a+b-j-k}x,q,c}(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{c}{m-j-k})=\\&=\begin{bmatrix} c \\ m-j-k \end{bmatrix}_q q^{(a+b-j-k)(m-j-k)}x^{m-j-k}(q^{a+b-j-k}x,q)_{j+k-(m-c)}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Using the multiplication theorem of probability and (1.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&P_{x,q,a+b+c}(B^{a,b}_{j,k}) = P_{x,q,a+b+c}(B^{a,b}_{j,k}|\,\mathbf{1}\tbinom{a}{j})P_{x,q,a+b+c}(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{a}{j})\\&= P_{x,q,a+b}(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{b}{k}|\,\mathbf{1}\tbinom{a}{j})P_{x,q,a}(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{a}{j}) = P_{q^{a-j}x,q,b}(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{b}{k})P_{x,q,a}(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{a}{j})\\&= \begin{bmatrix} b\\ k\end{bmatrix}_q (q^{a-j}x)^k(q^{a-j}x,q)_{b-k}\cdot \begin{bmatrix} a\\ j\end{bmatrix}_q x^j(x;q)_{a-j}\\&= \begin{bmatrix} a\\ j\end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} b\\ k\end{bmatrix}_q q^{k(a-j)}x^{j+k}(x;q)_{a+b-j-k}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the $(j,k)^{th}$ term at the right-hand side of (2.21) equals

$$\begin{bmatrix} a\\ j\end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} b\\ k\end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} c\\ m-j-k\end{bmatrix}_q x^m q^{(a+b-j-k)(m-j-k)+k(a-j)}(x;q)_{a+b+c-m}\,. \tag{2.22}$$

After substituting (2.22) into (2.21), replacing $m-j-k$ by l, and dividing the result by $x^m(x;q)_{a+b+c-m}$, we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} a+b+c\\ m\end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{\substack{j,k,l\geq 0\\ j+k+l=m}} \begin{bmatrix} a\\ j\end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} b\\ k\end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} c\\ l\end{bmatrix}_q q^{-(jk+kl+lj)}q^{a(m-j)+bl}\,. \tag{2.23}$$

Formula (2.14) follows from (2.23) with the help of suitable permutations of a, b, c and j, k, l and summing. (See the end of the proof of (2.13).)

5) Let us calculate the probability $P(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{n+m+1}{m+1})$ in two ways. We have by (2.10)

$$P_{x,q,n+m+1}(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{n+m+1}{m+1}) = \begin{bmatrix} n+m+1\\ m+1\end{bmatrix}_q x^{m+1}(x;q)_n\,. \tag{2.24}$$

Now we calculate this probability in a different way. For $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$, we introduce the following events

$$\begin{aligned}C_j := \mathbf{1}\tbinom{n+m+1}{m+1}\cap\{\ &(\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_{n+m+1})\in\Omega_{n+m+1}:\\ &\varepsilon_{m+j+1}=1, \varepsilon_{m+j+2}=\ldots=\varepsilon_{n+m+1}=0\}\,.\end{aligned}$$

(We distinguished the last outcome 1 in the sequence of $n+m+1$ trials $\mathcal{E}$.) Any element ω of C_j can be written as $\omega = (\omega', 1, 0_{(n-j)})$, where $\omega' \in \mathbf{1}\tbinom{m+j}{m}$. The events C_j are mutually exclusive and $\cup_{j=0}^n C_j = \mathbf{1}\tbinom{n+m+1}{m+1}$. Therefore:

$$P(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{n+m+1}{m+1}) = \sum_{j=0}^n P(C_j)\,. \tag{2.25}$$

Using (2.10) and (1.2), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}&P_{x,q,n+m+1}(C_j) =\\&= P_{x,q,m+j}(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{m+j}{m})\cdot(xq^j)\cdot(1-xq^j)(1-xq^{j+1})\cdots(1-xq^{n-1})\\&= \begin{bmatrix} m+j\\ m\end{bmatrix}_q x^m(x;q)_j\cdot xq^j\cdot(xq^j;q)_{n-j}\\&= q^j\begin{bmatrix} m+j\\ m\end{bmatrix}_q x^{m+1}(x;q)_n\,.\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Substituting (2.24) and (2.26) into (2.25) and dividing by $x^{m+1}(x;q)_n$, we obtain (2.15). □

We may distinguish the first rather than the last - or the first and the last - outcome 1 in the sequence of trials. This leads to the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *If* $m, n \in \mathbf{N}_0$, *then*

$$\begin{bmatrix} n+m+1 \\ m+1 \end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{j=0}^{n} q^{(m+1)j} \begin{bmatrix} n+m-j \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q , \tag{2.27}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} n+m+2 \\ m+2 \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j,k\geq 0 \\ j+k\leq n}} \begin{bmatrix} n+m-j-k \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q q^{n-(j+k)} \left(q^{(m+2)j} + q^{(m+2)k} \right) . \tag{2.28}$$

Proof. 1) We prove (2.27). We calculate $P(\mathbf{1}\binom{n+m+1}{m+1})$ in two ways. Evidently, we have (2.24). Alternatively, for $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$, we introduce the following events

$$D_j := \mathbf{1}\binom{n+m+1}{m+1} \cap \{(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n+m+1}) \in \Omega_{n+m+1} : \varepsilon_1 = \ldots = \varepsilon_j = 0, \varepsilon_{j+1} = 1\} .$$

D_j is formed by elements $\omega = (0_{(j)}, 1, \omega')$ where $\omega' \in \mathbf{1}\binom{n+m+1}{m}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} P(D_j) &= (x;q)_j \cdot (xq^j) \cdot P_{xq^j,q,n+m+-j}(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{n+m-j}{m}) \\ &= (x;q)_j \cdot \begin{bmatrix} n-j+m \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q \cdot (xq^j)^m (xq^j;q)_{n-j} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} n-j+m \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q x^{m+1}(x;q)_n q^{(m+1)j} . \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

The events D_j are mutually exclusive and $\cup_{j=0}^{n} D_j = \mathbf{1}\binom{n+m+1}{m+1}$. Therefore, by the additive property of probability, $P(\mathbf{1}\binom{n+m+1}{m+1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} P(D_j)$. Inserting (2.24) and (2.29) into this formula and dividing by $x^{m+1}(x;q)_n$, we get (2.27).

2) We prove (2.28). For $j \geq 0$ i $k \geq 0$ such that $j + k \leq n$, we consider events

$$\begin{aligned} E_{j,k} := \mathbf{1}\tbinom{n+m+2}{m+2} \cap \{ \ & (\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n+m+2}) \in \Omega_{n+m+2} : \\ & \varepsilon_1 = \ldots \varepsilon_j = 0, \varepsilon_{j+1} = 1, \\ & \varepsilon_{n+m+3-k} = 1, \varepsilon_{n+m+4-k} = \ldots = \varepsilon_{n+m+2} = 0\} . \end{aligned}$$

The event $E_{j,k}$ is formed by elements $\omega = (0_{(j)}, 1, \omega', 1, 0_{(k)})$ where $\omega' \in \mathbf{1}\binom{n+m-j-k}{m}$. We calculate the probability of the events $E_{j,k}$ using (2.1), (2.2), (2.3):

$$\begin{aligned} & P_{x,q,n+m+2}(E_{j,k}) = \\ & = (x;q)_j \cdot xq^j \cdot P_{q^j x,q,n+m-j-k}(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{n+m-j-k}{m}) \cdot xq^{j+(n-j-k)} \cdot (xq^{n-k};q)_k . \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$P_{q^j x,q,n+m-j-k}(\mathbf{1}\tbinom{n+m-j-k}{m}) = \begin{bmatrix} n+m-j-k \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q (xq^j)^m (xq^j, q)_{n-(j+k)} ,$$

it follows by (1.2) that

$$P(E_{j,k}) = \begin{bmatrix} n+m-j-k \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q q^{mj+j+n-k} x^{m+2} (x;q)_n \,. \tag{2.30}$$

Since $E_{j,k}$ are mutually exclusive and $\mathbf{1}\binom{n+m+2}{m+2} = \cup_{j,k} E_{j,k}$, the following equality holds: $P(\mathbf{1}\binom{n+m+2}{m+2}) = \sum\limits_{j,k} P(E_{j,k})$. Substituting (2.30) into this equality, using (2.10), and dividing by $x^{m+2}(x;q)_n$, we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} n+m+2 \\ m+2 \end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{\substack{j,k\geq 0 \\ j+k\leq n}} \begin{bmatrix} n+m-j-k \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q q^{mj+n+j-k} \,. \tag{2.31}$$

Replacing j in (2.31) by k, and k by j, we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} n+m+2 \\ m+2 \end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{\substack{j,k\geq 0 \\ j+k\leq n}} \begin{bmatrix} n+m-j-k \\ m \end{bmatrix}_q q^{mk+n+k-j} \,. \tag{2.32}$$

Summing (2.31) and (2.32) we get (2.28). $\square$

3 q-polynomial coefficients

Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $u_1 > 0$, $u_2 > 0$, $U := u_1 + u_2 < 1$, $0 < q < 1$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a trial with four outcomes $0, 1, 2, *$. We consider the following random process. The trial $\mathcal{E}$ is repeated n times. We assume that the probabilities of the outcomes $0, 1, 2$ in the first trial are equal to

$$1 - u_1 - u_2 = 1 - U \,, \quad u_1 \,, \quad u_2 \,, \tag{3.1}$$

respectively. Consequently, the probability of the outcome $*$ in the first trial is equal to zero.

Let m be a positive integer, $m < n$. Suppose that in the first m trials the outcomes $0, 1, 2$ have happened i_0, i_1, i_2 times, respectively, with $i_0 + i_1 + i_2 = m$ (so, there is no outcome $*$ in the first m trials). Then we assume the probabilities of outcomes $0, 1, 2$ in the $(m+1)^{th}$ trial to be equal

$$1 - q^{i_0} U \,, \quad q^{i_0} u_1 \,, \quad q^{i_0 + i_1} u_2 \,, \tag{3.2}$$

respectively. Consequently, the outcome $*$ happens in the $(m+1)^{th}$ trial with the probability

$$1 - q^{i_0} u_1 - q^{i_0+i_1} u_2 - (1 - q^{i_0} u_1 - q^{i_0} u_2) = q^{i_0} u_2 (1 - q^{i_1}) \,. \tag{3.3}$$

We assume also that if the outcome $*$ happens in the k^{th} trial, then $*$ will happen in the $(k+1)^{th}$ trial with the probability 1.

We construct a probability space corresponding to the random process described above. The sample space Ω_n consists of all sequences $\omega = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_n)$ of the length n, such that its elements ε_j are equal to $0, 1, 2, *$, and the following condition is valid: if $\varepsilon_k = *$ for some k $(1 < k < n)$, then $\varepsilon_l = *$ for any $l = k+1, k+2, \ldots, n$. We define the probability $p_{u_1,u_2,U,q,n}(\omega)$ of the elementary event $\omega = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_n)$ as

$$p_{u_1,u_2,U,q,n}((\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_n)) = f_1 \cdot f_2 \cdot \ldots \cdot f_n \,, \tag{3.4}$$

where, according to (3.1),

$$f_1 = \begin{cases} 1 - U, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1 = 0, \\ u_1, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1 = 1, \\ u_2, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1 = 2, \\ 0, & \text{if } \varepsilon_1 = *, \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

and according to (3.2) and (3.3), for any m, $1 \le m \le n-1$,

$$f_{m+1} = \begin{cases} 1 - q^{i_0} U \,, & \text{if } \varepsilon_{m+1} = 0 \,, \\ q^{i_0} u_1 \,, & \text{if } \varepsilon_{m+1} = 1 \,, \\ q^{i_0+i_1} u_2 \,, & \text{if } \varepsilon_{m+1} = 2 \,, \\ q^{i_0} u_2 (1 - q^{i_1}) \,, & \text{if } \varepsilon_{m+1} = * \,, \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

if $i_0 + i_1 + i_2 = m$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{j : 1 \le j \le m, \varepsilon_j = 0\} &= i_0 \,, \\ \#\{k : 1 \le k \le m, \varepsilon_k = 1\} &= i_1 \,, \\ \#\{l : 1 \le l \le m, \varepsilon_l = 2\} &= i_2 \,. \end{aligned}$$

We define also

$$\varepsilon_{m+1} = * \quad \text{and} \quad f_{m+1} = 1, \qquad \text{if } \varepsilon_m = * \tag{3.7}$$

(see the end of the second paragraph of this section). We define the probability of an event $A \subset \Omega_n$ as follows

$$P_{u_1,u_2,U,q,n}(A) := \sum_{\omega \in A} p_{u_1,u_2,U,q,n}(\omega) \,. \tag{3.8}$$

Although $U = u_1 + u_2$, it is useful to write U as a subscript of the probability sign P. Sometimes we write P instead of $P_{u_1,u_2,U,q,n}$. As in Section 2, we see that

$$P_{u_1,u_2,U,q,m}(A) = P_{u_1,u_2,U,q,n}(A) \,,$$

if $m < n$ and the event $A \subset \Omega_n$ does not depend on repetitions of the trial $\mathcal{E}$ with the numbers $m+1, m+2, \ldots, n$.

Let us introduce notation for some events of the sample space Ω_n. For $i \in \{0, 1, 2, *\}$ and integer m, $1 \le m \le n$, and k, $0 \le k \le n$, we use definitions

(2.4), (2.5) from Section 2. For $m \in \mathbf{N}$, $j \in \{0,1,2\}$, $i_0, i_1, i_2 \in \mathbf{N}_0$ such that $i_0 + i_1 + i_2 = m$, we define

$$A\tbinom{m}{i_0,i_1,i_2} := \mathbf{0}\tbinom{m}{i_0} \cap \mathbf{1}\tbinom{m}{i_1} \cap \mathbf{2}\tbinom{m}{i_2} .$$

The event $A\binom{m}{i_0,i_1,i_2}$ can be described as follows: outcomes $0, 1, 2$ happen i_0, i_1, i_2 times, respectively, in the repetitions of the trial $\mathcal{E}$ with the numbers $1, 2, \ldots, m$. We set $A\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2} = \emptyset$ if $i_j < 0$ or $i_j > m$ for some $j \in \{0,1,2\}$.

We may write (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) in the introduced notation as follows ($P = P_{u_1,u_2,U,q,n}$):

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcl} P(\mathbf{0}^{(1)}) & = & 1 - u_1 - u_2 \,, & \qquad & P(\mathbf{1}^{(1)}) & = & u_1 \,, \\ P(\mathbf{2}^{(1)}) & = & u_2 \,, & & P(*^{(1)}) & = & 0 \,; \end{array} \tag{3.9}$$

if $m \in \mathbf{N}$, $m < n$, $i_0 + i_1 + i_2 = m$, then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} P\left(\mathbf{0}^{(m+1)} |\, A\tbinom{m}{i_0,i_1,i_2}\right) & = & 1 - q^{i_0} U \,, \\ P\left(\mathbf{1}^{(m+1)} |\, A\tbinom{m}{i_0,i_1,i_2}\right) & = & q^{i_0} u_1 \,, \\ P\left(\mathbf{2}^{(m+1)} |\, A\tbinom{m}{i_0,i_1,i_2}\right) & = & q^{i_0+i_1} u_2 \,, \\ P\left(*^{(m+1)} |\, A\tbinom{m}{i_0,i_1,i_2}\right) & = & q^{i_0}(1 - q^{i_1}) u_2 \,. \end{array} \tag{3.10}$$

Formula (3.7) means that

$$P(\mathbf{j}^{(l+1)} | *^{(l)}) = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, 2 \,; \qquad P(*^{(l+1)} | *^{(l)}) = 1$$

for all $l = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$.

We give now the probabilities of some elementary events $\omega \in A\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & p((1_{(i_1)}, 2_{(i_2)}, 0_{(i_0)})) = u_1^{i_1} u_2^{i_2} (U;q)_{i_0} q^{i_1 i_2} \,, \\ & p((2_{(i_2)}, 1_{(i_1)}, 0_{(i_0)})) = u_1^{i_1} u_2^{i_2} (U;q)_{i_0} \,, \\ & p((0_{(i_0)}, 1_{(i_1)}, 2_{(i_2)})) = u_1^{i_1} u_2^{i_2} (U;q)_{i_0} q^{i_0 u_1 + (i_0+i_1) i_2} \,, \\ & p((0, 1, 2, 0, 1, 2_{(i_2-1)}, 0_{(i_0-2)}, 1_{(i_1-2)})) = u_1^{i_1} u_2^{i_2} (U;q)_{i_0} q^{i_0(i_1-2) + 4i_2 + 1} \,. \end{aligned}$$

It can be readily seen that the probability of every elementary event $\omega \in A\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2}$ ($i_0 + i_1 + i_2 = n$) equals $u_1^{i_1} u_2^{i_2} (U;q)_{i_0} q^r$, where r is an integer such that $0 \le r \le i_0 i_1 + i_0 i_2 + i_1 i_2$. Therefore,

$$p\tbinom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2} := P\left(A\tbinom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2}\right) = u_1^{i_1} u_2^{i_2} (U;q)_{i_0}\, c\tbinom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2} \,, \tag{3.11}$$

where $c\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2} = c(n, i_0, i_1, i_2; q)$ is a polynomial in q. We set $c\binom{0}{0,0,0} = 1$ and $c\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2} = 0$ if one of the numbers i_0, i_1, i_2 is negative.

The following theorem is an analogue of Theorem 2.1. It shows that the polynomial $c\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2}$ coincides with the q-polynomial coefficient $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ i_0, i_1, i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q$. It is a key theorem of this section.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $n \in \mathbf{N}_0$, $i_0, i_1, i_2 \in \mathbf{N}_0$, $i_0 + i_1 + i_2 = n$, *and* $c\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2}$ *be a polynomial in* q *defined by* (3.11). *Then*

$$c\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i_0, i_1, i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q .$$

Proof. First we prove a recurrence relation for coefficients $c\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2}$. We show that if $n \geq 1$, $i_0, i_1, i_2 \geq 0$, $i_0 + i_1 + i_2 = n$, then

$$c\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2} = c\binom{n-1}{i_0-1,i_1,i_2} + q^{i_0}\, c\binom{n-1}{i_0,i_1-1,i_2} + q^{i_0+i_1}\, c\binom{n-1}{i_0,i_1,i_2-1} . \tag{3.12}$$

Since the events $A\binom{n-1}{j_0,j_1,j_2}$ ($j_0, j_1, j_2 \geq 0$, $j_0 + j_1 + j_2 = n-1$) and $B_{n-1} := \{(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n) \in \Omega_n : \varepsilon_k = * \ (\exists k, 1 \leq k \leq n-1)\}$ form a partition of the sample space Ω_n and since $P\left(A\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2} | B_{n-1}\right) = 0$, it follows by the formula of total probability that

$$\begin{aligned}
& p\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2} = P\left(A\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2}\right) = \\
& = P\left(A\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2} \,|\, A\binom{n-1}{i_0-1,i_1,i_2}\right) P\left(A\binom{n-1}{i_0-1,i_1,i_2}\right) \\
& + P\left(A\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2} \,|\, A\binom{n-1}{i_0,i_1-1,i_2}\right) P\left(A\binom{n-1}{i_0,i_1-1,i_2}\right) \\
& + P\left(A\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2} \,|\, A\binom{n-1}{i_0,i_1,i_2-1}\right) P\left(A\binom{n-1}{i_0,i_1,i_2-1}\right) \\
& = P\left(\mathbf{0}^{(n)} | \, A\binom{n-1}{i_0-1,i_1,i_2}\right) P\left(A\binom{n-1}{i_0-1,i_1,i_2}\right) \\
& + P\left(\mathbf{1}^{(n)} | \, A\binom{n-1}{i_0,i_1-1,i_2}\right) P\left(A\binom{n-1}{i_0,i_1-1,i_2}\right) \\
& + P\left(\mathbf{2}^{(n)} | \, A\binom{n-1}{i_0,i_1,i_2-1}\right) P\left(A\binom{n-1}{i_0,i_1,i_2-1}\right) \\
& = (1 - q^{i_0-1}U)\, p\binom{n-1}{i_0-1,i_1,i_2} + q^{i_0} u_1\, p\binom{n-1}{i_0,i_1-1,i_2} + q^{i_0+i_1} u_2\, p\binom{n-1}{i_0,i_1,i_2-1} . \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.11) into (3.13), using the formula $(1-q^{i_0-1}U)(U;q)_{i_0-1} = (U;q)_{i_0}$, and dividing by $u_1 u_2 (U;q)_{i_0}$, we obtain (3.12).

Now we prove the result by induction on n. By definition $c\binom{0}{0,0,0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0,0,0 \end{bmatrix}_q$. We assume that the equality $c\binom{n-1}{j_0,j_1,j_2} = \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ j_0, j_1, j_2 \end{bmatrix}_q$ holds for all $j_0, j_1, j_2 \geq 0$ such that $j_0 + j_1 + j_2 = n-1$. We will show that $c\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2} = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i_0, i_1, i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q$ for all $i_0, i_1, i_2 \geq 0$ such that $i_0 + i_1 + i_2 = n$. Applying (3.12) we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
c\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2} & = c\binom{n-1}{i_0-1,i_1,i_2} + q^{i_0}\, c\binom{n-1}{i_0,i_1-1,i_2} + q^{i_0+i_1}\, c\binom{n-1}{i_0,i_1,i_2-1} \\
& = \frac{(q)_{n-1}}{(q)_{i_0}(q)_{i_1}(q)_{i_2}} \left((1-q^{i_0}) + q^{i_0}(1-q^{i_1}) + q^{i_0+i_1}(1-q^{i_2})\right) \\
& = \frac{(q)_{n-1}}{(q)_{i_0}(q)_{i_1}(q)_{i_2}}(1-q^n) = \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_{i_0}(q)_{i_1}(q)_{i_2}} ,
\end{aligned}$$

and the proof of the theorem is complete. □

Therefore, we may write

$$p\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2} = P\left(A\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2}\right) = u_1^{i_1}u_2^{i_2}(U;q)_{i_0}\begin{bmatrix} n \\ i_0,i_1,i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q . \tag{3.14}$$

The next theorem contains another recurrence relation for q-polynomial coefficients and an analogue of the Vandermond formula.

Theorem 3.2. 1) *If* $n, i_0, i_1, i_2 \in \mathbf{N}_0$, $i_0 + i_1 + i_2 = n$, *then*

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ i_0,i_1,i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q = q^{i_1+i_2}\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ i_0-1,i_1,i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q + q^{i_2}\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ i_0,i_1-1,i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q + \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ i_0,i_1,i_2-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \tag{3.15}$$

2) *If* $n, k \in \mathbf{N}_0$, $0 \le k \le n$, $i_0, i_1, i_2 \ge 0$, $i_0 + i_1 + i_2 = n$, *then*

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ i_0,i_1,i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q = \tag{3.16}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{j_0,j_1,j_2\ge 0 \\ j_0+j_1+j_2=k}} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j_0,j_1,j_2 \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ i_0-j_0,i_1-j_1,i_2-j_2 \end{bmatrix}_q q^{j_0(i_1-j_1)+(j_0+j_1)(i_2-j_2)} .$$

Proof. 1) Since the events $A\binom{1}{1,0,0}$, $A\binom{1}{0,1,0}$, $A\binom{1}{0,0,1}$ and $B_1 := \{(*_{(n)})\}$ form a partition of the sample space Ω_n and since $P(B_1) = 0$, we get by the formula of total probability and using (3.9) (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} & p\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2} = \\ & = P\left(A\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2}\right) = P\left(A\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2} \,|\, A\binom{1}{1,0,0}\right) P\left(A\binom{1}{1,0,0}\right) \\ & + P\left(A\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2} \,|\, A\binom{1}{0,1,0}\right) P\left(A\binom{1}{0,1,0}\right) + P\left(A\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2} \,|\, A\binom{1}{0,0,1}\right) P\left(A\binom{1}{0,0,1}\right) \\ & = P_{qu_1,qu_2,qU,q,n-1}(A\binom{n-1}{i_0-1,i_1,i_2})(1-U) + P_{u_1,qu_2,U,q,n-1}(A\binom{n-1}{i_0,i_1-1,i_2})u_1 \\ & + p\binom{n-1}{i_0,i_1,i_2-1} u_2 . \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

By the definition of the probability on Ω_n (see (3.11)), it follows from (3.17) that

$$\begin{aligned} (U;q)_{i_0} u_1^{i_1}u_2^{i_2}\begin{bmatrix} n \\ i_0,i_1,i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q &= (1-U)(qU,q)_{i_0-1}(qu_1)^{i_1}(qu_2)^{i_2}\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ i_0-1,i_1,i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q \\ + u_1(U;q)_{i_0}u_1^{i_1-1}(qu_2)^{i_2}\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ i_0,i_1-1,i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q &+ u_2(U;q)_{i_0}u_1^{i_1}u_2^{i_2-1}\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ i_0,i_1,i_2-1 \end{bmatrix}_q . \end{aligned}$$

Using the formula $(1-U)(qU,q)_{i_0-1} = (U;q)_{i_0}$ and dividing by $u_1^{i_1}u_2^{i_2}(U;q)_{i_0}$, we get (3.15).

2) The events $A\binom{k}{j_0,j_1,j_2}$ (k is fixed, $j_0, j_1, j_2 \ge 0$, $j_0 + j_1 + j_2 = k$) and $B_k := \{(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n) \in \Omega_n : \varepsilon_l = * \ (\exists l, 1 \le l \le k)\}$ form a partition of Ω_n. By the formula of total probability, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} p\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2} &= P\left(A\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2}\right) = P\left(A\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2} |B_k\right) P(B_k) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{j_0,j_1,j_2\ge 0 \\ j_0+j_1+j_2=k}} P\left(A\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2} \,|\, A\binom{k}{j_0,j_1,j_2}\right) P\left(A\binom{k}{j_0,j_1,j_2}\right) . \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Let us first find the conditional probabilities at the right-hand side of (3.18). If the event $A\binom{k}{j_0,j_1,j_2}$ has occurred, then the probabilities of outcomes $0, 1, 2$ in the $(k+1)^{th}$ trial $\mathcal{E}$ are equal to $1 - q^{j_0}U$, $q^{j_0}u_1$, and $q^{j_0+j_1}u_2$, respectively. In $n-k$ trials with numbers $k+1, k+2, \ldots, n$ there must be $i_0 - j_0$ outcomes 0, $i_1 - j_1$ outcomes 1, and $i_2 - j_2$ outcomes 2. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} & P\left(A\tbinom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2} \mid A\tbinom{k}{j_0,j_1,j_2}\right) = \\ & = P_{q^{j_0}u_1, q^{j_0+j_1}u_2, q^{j_0}U, q, n-k}\left(A\tbinom{n-k}{i_0-j_0, i_1-j_1, i_2-j_2}\right) \qquad (3.19) \\ & = \left(q^{j_0}u_1\right)^{i_1-j_1}\left(q^{j_0+j_1}u_2\right)^{i_2-j_2}(q^{j_0}U, q)_{i_0-j_0}\begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ i_0-j_0, i_1-j_1, i_2-j_2 \end{bmatrix}_q . \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.14) into (3.18), using the equality $P\left(A\binom{n}{i_0,i_1,i_2} | B_k\right) = 0$ and (3.19), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (U;q)_{i_0} u_1^{i_1} u_2^{i_2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i_0, i_1, i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{(j_0,j_1,j_2)} \left(q^{j_0}u_1\right)^{i_1-j_1}\left(q^{j_0+j_1}u_2\right)^{i_2-j_2} \times \\ & \times (q^{j_0}U, q))_{i_0-j_0}\begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ i_0-j_0, i_1-j_1, i_2-j_2 \end{bmatrix}_q (U;q)_{j_0} u_1^{j_1} u_2^{j_2} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ j_0, j_1, j_2 \end{bmatrix}_q . \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.2) and dividing by $(U;q)_{i_0} u_1^{i_1} u_2^{i_2}$, we obtain (3.16). □

The following theorem contains results that give analogues of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3.

Theorem 3.3. *For all* i_0, $i_1, i_2 \in \mathbf{N}_0$ *the following identities are valid:*

$$\begin{bmatrix} i_0+i_1+i_2 \\ i_0, i_1, i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q = \sum_{k=0}^{i_0} \begin{bmatrix} k+i_1+i_2 \\ k, i_1, i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q \left(1 - \frac{1-q^k}{1-q^{k+i_1+i_2}}\right), \qquad (3.20)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} i_0+i_1+i_2 \\ i_0, i_1, i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q = \left(1 - q^{i_1+i_2}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{i_0} \begin{bmatrix} k+i_1+i_2 \\ k, i_1, i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^{(i_1+i_2)(i_0-k)}}{1-q^{k+i_1+i_2}}, \qquad (3.21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} i_0+i_1+i_2 \\ i_0, i_1, i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q = \\ & = \left(1 - q^{i_1+i_2-1}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{i_0} \begin{bmatrix} k+i_1+i_2 \\ k, i_1, i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^k\left(1 - q^{(i_1+i_2)(i_0-k+1)}\right)}{\left(1-q^{k+i_1+i_2-1}\right)\left(1-q^{k+i_1+i_2}\right)} . (3.22) \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.1. Identities (2.15), (2.27), (2.28) follow from (3.20), (3.21), (3.22), respectively, if we take $i_2 = 0$.

Proof. 1) For $r = 1, 2$ and $j = i_1 + i_2, i_1 + i_2 + 1, \ldots, i_0 + i_1 + i_2$ we consider the events

$$C_j^r := A\tbinom{i_0+i_1+i_2}{i_0,i_1,i_2} \cap \{(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i_0+i_1+i_2}) : \varepsilon_j = r, \varepsilon_{j+1} = \ldots = \varepsilon_{i_0+i_1+i_2} = 0\} .$$

By the additive property of probability, we have

$$P\left(A\tbinom{i_0+i_1+i_2}{i_0,i_1,i_2}\right) = \sum_{j=i_1+i_2}^{i_0+i_1+i_2} P\left(C_j^1\right) + \sum_{j=i_1+i_2}^{i_0+i_1+i_2} P\left(C_j^2\right) . \qquad (3.23)$$

It is readily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} P(C_j^1) &= (U;q)_{i_0} u_1^{i_1} u_2^{i_2} q^{j-i_1-i_2} \begin{bmatrix} j-1 \\ j-i_1-i_2, i_1-1, i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q , \\ P(C_j^2) &= (U;q)_{i_0} u_1^{i_1} u_2^{i_2} q^{j-i_2} \begin{bmatrix} j-1 \\ j-i_1-i_2, i_1, i_2-1 \end{bmatrix}_q . \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Substituting (3.14) and (3.24) into (3.23) and dividing by $(U;q)_{i_0} u_1^{i_1} u_2^{i_2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} i_0+i_1+i_2 \\ i_0, i_1, i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q &= \sum_{j=i_1+i_2}^{i_0+i_1+i_2} \begin{bmatrix} j-1 \\ j-i_1-i_2, i_1-1, i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q q^{j-i_1-i_2} + \\ &+ \sum_{j=i_1+i_2}^{i_0+i_1+i_2} \begin{bmatrix} j-1 \\ j-i_1-i_2, i_1, i_2-1 \end{bmatrix}_q q^{j-i_2} . \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Replacing j in (3.25) by $k = j - i_1 - i_2$ and using the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} a+b+c-1 \\ a, b-1, c \end{bmatrix}_q &= \begin{bmatrix} a+b+c \\ a, b, c \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{1-q^b}{1-q^{a+b+c}} , \\ \begin{bmatrix} a+b+c-1 \\ a, b, c-1 \end{bmatrix}_q &= \begin{bmatrix} a+b+c \\ a, b, c \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{1-q^c}{1-q^{a+b+c}} , \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

we obtain (3.20) after a simple calculation.

2) For $r = 1, 2$ and $j = 0, 1, \ldots, i_0$, we consider the events

$$D_j^r := A\binom{i_0+i_1+i_2}{i_0, i_1, i_2} \cap \{(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{i_0+i_1+i_2}) : \varepsilon_1 = \ldots = \varepsilon_j = 0, \varepsilon_{j+1} = r\} .$$

Using the additive property of probability, we write

$$P\left(A\binom{i_0+i_1+i_2}{i_0, i_1, i_2}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{i_0} \left(P\left(D_j^1\right) + P\left(D_j^2\right)\right) . \tag{3.27}$$

By (3.14) and (1.2):

$$\begin{aligned} P(D_j^1) &= (U;q)_j q^j u_1 \cdot P_{q^j u_1, q^{j+1} u_2, q^j U, q, i_0+i_1+i_2-j-1} \left(A\binom{i_0+i_1+i_2-j-1}{i_0-j, i_1-1, i_2}\right) \\ &= (U;q)_{i_0} u_1^{i_1} u_2^{i_2} \begin{bmatrix} i_0+i_1+i_2-j-1 \\ i_0-j, i_1-1, i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q q^{j i_1 + (j+1) i_2} . \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned} P(D_j^2) &= (U;q)_j q^j u_1 \cdot P_{q^j u_1, q^j u_2, q^j U, q, i_0+i_1+i_2-j-1} \left(A\binom{i_0+i_1+i_2-j-1}{i_0-j, i_1, i_2-1}\right) \\ &= (U;q)_{i_0} u_1^{i_1} u_2^{i_2} \begin{bmatrix} i_0+i_1+i_2-j-1 \\ i_0-j, i_1, i_2-1 \end{bmatrix}_q q^{j i_1 + j i_2} . \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

Substituting (3.28), (3.29), and (3.14) into (3.27) and dividing by $(U;q)_{i_0}u_1^{i_1}u_2^{i_2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{bmatrix} i_0+i_1+i_2 \\ i_0,i_1,i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q &= \sum_{j=0}^{i_0} \begin{bmatrix} i_0+i_1+i_2-j-1 \\ i_0-j,i_1-1,i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q q^{(i_1+i_2)j+i_2} + \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{i_0} \begin{bmatrix} i_0+i_1+i_2-j-1 \\ i_0-j,i_1,i_2-1 \end{bmatrix}_q q^{(i_1+i_2)j} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

If we replace j in (3.30) by $k = i_0 - j$ and use identities (3.26), then we obtain (3.21) after a simple calculation.

3) The proof of (3.22) is similar to those of (3.20) and (3.21). For $j,k \geq 0$, $j+k \leq i_0$, $a,b = 1,2$ we consider the events

$$\begin{aligned} E_{jk}^{ab} = A\tbinom{i_0+i_1+i_2}{i_0,i_1,i_2} \cap \{ & (\varepsilon_1,\ldots,\varepsilon_{i_0+i_1+i_2}) \in \Omega_{i_0+i_1+i_2} : \varepsilon_1 = \ldots = \varepsilon_j = 0, \varepsilon_{j+1} = a, \\ & \varepsilon_{i_0+i_1+i_2-k} = b, \varepsilon_{i_0+i_1+i_2-k+1} = \ldots = \varepsilon_{i_0+i_1+i_2} = 0\} \,. \end{aligned}$$

It can be easily seen that the events E_{jk}^{ab} are disjoint with union $A\binom{i_0+i_1+i_2}{i_0,i_1,i_2}$. Then we can write

$$P\left(A\tbinom{i_0+i_1+i_2}{i_0,i_1,i_2}\right) = \sum_{\substack{j,k\geq 0 \\ j+k\leq i_0}} \sum_{a,b=1}^{2} P\left(E_{jk}^{ab}\right) \,. \tag{3.31}$$

Calculations similar to those that are made through proving of (3.21) show that

$$P(E_{jk}^{11}) = (U;q)_{i_0}u_1^{i_1}u_2^{i_2} \begin{bmatrix} i_0+i_1+i_2-j-k-2 \\ i_0-j-k,i_1-2,i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q q^{j(i_1+i_2)+i_0+i_2-j-k} \,, \tag{3.32}$$

$$P(E_{jk}^{22}) = (U;q)_{i_0}u_1^{i_1}u_2^{i_2} \begin{bmatrix} i_0+i_1+i_2-j-k-2 \\ i_0-j-k,i_1,i_2-2 \end{bmatrix}_q q^{j(i_1+i_2)+i_0+i_1-j-k} \,, \tag{3.33}$$

$$P(E_{jk}^{21}) = (U;q)_{i_0}u_1^{i_1}u_2^{i_2} \begin{bmatrix} i_0+i_1+i_2-j-k-2 \\ i_0-j-k,i_1-1,i_2-1 \end{bmatrix}_q q^{j(i_1+i_2)+i_0-j-k} \,, \tag{3.34}$$

$$P(E_{jk}^{12}) = (U;q)_{i_0}u_1^{i_1}u_2^{i_2} \begin{bmatrix} i_0+i_1+i_2-j-k-2 \\ i_0-j-k,i_1-1,i_2-1 \end{bmatrix}_q q^{j(i_1+i_2)+i_0++i_1+i_2-j-k-1} \,. \tag{3.35}$$

Substituting (3.14), (3.32) – (3.35) into (3.31) and using (3.26), we get after a division by $(U;q)_{i_0}u_1^{i_1}u_2^{i_2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} i_0+i_1+i_2 \\ i_0,i_1,i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q &= \left(1-q^{i_1+i_2-1}\right)\left(1-q^{i_1+i_2}\right) \times \\ \times \sum_{\substack{j,k\geq 0 \\ j+k\leq i_0}} & \begin{bmatrix} i_0+i_1+i_2-j-k \\ i_0-j-k,i_1,i_2 \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{q^{j(i_1+i_2)+i_0-j-k}}{(1-q^{i_0+i_1+i_2-j-k-1})\,(1-q^{i_0+i_1+i_2-j-k})} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

We obtain (3.22) from (3.36) after a change of variables $j' = j$, $k' = i_0 - j - k$ and a simple computation. □

Remark 3.2. It is clear that the arguments of Section 3 are perfectly general. In order to generalize our arguments to the case of q-polynomial coefficients $\begin{bmatrix} i_0 + \ldots + i_k \\ i_0, \ldots, i_k \end{bmatrix}_q$, $k \geq 3$, we can take a trial $\mathcal{E}$ with $k+2$ outcomes $0, 1, 2, \ldots, k, *$. Analogously to (3.1), we assume that the probabilities of the outcomes $0, 1, 2, \ldots, k$ in the first trial are equal to

$$1 - U\,,\ u_1\,,\ u_2\,,\ \ldots\,,\ u_k\,,$$

respectively, where $u_i > 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$), $U := u_1 + u_2 + \ldots + u_k < 1$. If m is a positive integer, $i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_k \geq 0$, $i_0 + i_1 + \ldots + i_k = m$, and if we know that in the first m trials the outcomes $0, 1, 2, \ldots, k$ have happened $i_0, i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k$ times, respectively, we assume that the probabilities of the outcomes $0, 1, 2, \ldots, k$ in the $(m+1)^{th}$ trial are equal to

$$1 - q^{i_0}U\,,\ q^{i_0}u_1\,,\ q^{i_0+i_1}u_2\,,\ \ldots\,,\ q^{i_0+i_1+\ldots+i_{k-1}}u_k\,,$$

respectively.

4 Stirling numbers of the second kind.

Let $\Omega_n := \{\omega = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_n) : \varepsilon_j = 0 \text{ or } 1, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ be a set of all sequences of the length n with elements 0 and 1, $\beta = \{\beta_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive numbers. We define a weight w_n on Ω_n inductively. For $n = 1$, we set

$$w_1((0)) = 1, \qquad w_1((1)) = \beta_0\,. \tag{4.1}$$

Let $m > 1$. We define the weight of a chain of the length m to be

$$w_m((\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_{m-1}, 1)) = w_{m-1}((\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_{m-1})) \cdot \beta_j\,, \tag{4.2}$$

where $j = \#\{l : 1 \leq l \leq m-1, \varepsilon_l = 0\}$, and

$$w_m((\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_{m-1}, 0)) = w_{m-1}((\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_{m-1}))\,. \tag{4.3}$$

In other words, the weight of a chain $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_{m-1}, \varepsilon_m)$ of the length m equals the product of the weight of the chain $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_{m-1})$ and that of the element ε_m, which is equal to 1 if $\varepsilon_m = 0$ and to β_j if $\varepsilon_m = 1$ and $\#\{k : 1 \leq k \leq m-1, \varepsilon_k = 0\} = j$.

For every set $A \subset \Omega_n$, we define the weight $W_n(A)$ of A to be

$$W_n(A) := \sum_{\omega \in A} w_n(\omega)\,. \tag{4.4}$$

It is evident from (4.4) that the additive property of the weight is valid:

$$W_n(A \cup B) = W_n(A) + W_n(B)\,, \qquad \text{if } A \cap B = \emptyset\,. \tag{4.5}$$

For $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq n$ we denote

$$\xi_{nk} := \xi_{nk}(\beta) := W_n(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{n}{k})\,, \tag{4.6}$$

where $\mathbf{0}\tbinom{n}{k} = \{(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_n) \in \Omega_n : \#\{l : 1 \leq l \leq n, \varepsilon_l = 0\} = k\}$ (see (2.5)). We denote also $\xi_{00}(\beta) = 1$, $\xi_{nk}(\beta) = 0$ if $k < 0$ or $k > n$. We see that ξ_{nk} is a polynomial in the variables β_i, $0 \leq i \leq k$, considering β_j as independent variables.

Definition 4.1. Polynomials ξ_{nk} are said to be *Stirling polynomials of the second kind* generated by the sequence β.

The following theorem gives a recurrence relation for polynomials ξ_{nk}.

Theorem 4.1. *If* $n \in \mathbf{N}$ *and* $0 \leq k \leq n$, *then*

$$\xi_{nk} = \xi_{n-1,k-1} + \xi_{n-1,k}\beta_k\,. \tag{4.7}$$

Proof. For $j = 0, 1$, we denote $A^j := \mathbf{0}\tbinom{n}{k} \cap \{(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n) \in \Omega_n : \varepsilon_n = j\}$. From (4.2) and (4.3) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{nk} &= W_n(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{n}{k}) = W_n(A^0) + W_n(A^1) \\ &= W_{n-1}(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{n-1}{k-1}) \cdot 1 + W_{n-1}(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{n-1}{k}) \cdot \beta_k \\ &= \xi_{n-1,k-1} + \xi_{n-1,k}\beta_k\,, \end{aligned}$$

This proves (4.7). □

For every positive integer l and a sequence $\beta := \{\beta_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$, we denote $\beta^{(l)} := \{\beta_{l+j}\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$. The $W_n^{(l)}$ will denote the weight on Ω_n generated by the sequence $\beta^{(l)}$.

Definition 4.2. Polynomials

$$\xi_{nk}^{(l)} := \xi_{nk}(\beta^{(l)})\,, \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots\,, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n)\,; \quad \xi_{00}^{(l)} := 1\,,$$

in the variables $\beta_l, \beta_{l+1}, \ldots$ are said to be *associated of the rank* l with polynomials $\xi_{nk}(\beta)$.

The following theorem gives a relation that includes ξ_{nk} and $\xi_{nk}^{(1)}$.

Theorem 4.2. *For all* $n \geq 1$ *and* $0 \leq k \leq n$ *the following recurrence relation holds:*

$$\xi_{nk} = \xi_{n-1,k-1}^{(1)} + \xi_{n-1,k}\beta_0\,. \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. For $j = 0, 1$ we denote $B^j := \mathbf{0}\binom{n}{k} \cap \{(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n) \in \Omega_n : \varepsilon_1 = j\}$. Using (4.2) and (4.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{nk} &= W_n(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{n}{k}) = W_n(B^0) + W_n(B^1) \\ &= 1 \cdot W^{(1)}_{n-1}(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{n-1}{k-1}) + \beta_0 \cdot W_{n-1}(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{n-1}{k}) \\ &= \xi^{(1)}_{n-1,k-1} + \xi_{n-1,k}\beta_0 \,. \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

Let us consider a particular case. We put

$$\beta_j := j \quad \text{for all} \quad j \geq 0\,. \tag{4.9}$$

(Therefore, $w_n(\omega) = 0$ for every chain $\omega = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_n)$ such that $\varepsilon_1 = 1$.) Then we get numbers $\tilde{\xi}_{nk} := \xi_{nk}(\{j\}_{j=0}^{\infty})$ satisfying the following recurrence relation (see (4.7))

$$\tilde{\xi}_{nk} = \tilde{\xi}_{n-1,k-1} + \tilde{\xi}_{n-1,k} \cdot k \tag{4.10}$$

and conditions $\tilde{\xi}_{00} = 1$, $\tilde{\xi}_{nk} = 0$ if $k < 0$ or $k > n$. The theorem below follows directly from the definition of Stirling numbers of the second kind $\left\{ n \atop k \right\}$ (see (1.3)).

Theorem 4.3. *Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then*

$$\left\{ n \atop k \right\} = W_n(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{n}{k})\,, \tag{4.11}$$

where W_n denotes the weight on Ω_n generated by the sequence $\beta = \{j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ with the help of (4.1) — (4.4).

In the following theorem we give new proofs of some known facts (see, for example, [3], formulas (6.20), (6.22)). Our proofs are based on Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.4. 1) *If $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $0 \leq m \leq n$, then*

$$\left\{ n \atop m \right\} = \sum_{l=m}^{n} \left\{ l-1 \atop m-1 \right\} m^{n-l}\,. \tag{4.12}$$

2) *If $n, m \in \mathbf{N}_0$, then*

$$\left\{ n+m+1 \atop m \right\} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} k \left\{ n+k \atop k \right\}\,. \tag{4.13}$$

Proof. 1) We denote

$$F_l := \mathbf{0}\tbinom{n}{m} \cap \{(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n) : \varepsilon_l = 0, \varepsilon_{l+1} = \varepsilon_{l+2} = \ldots = \varepsilon_n = 1\}$$

for every $l = m, m+1, \ldots, n$. It is evident that these sets form a partition of $\mathbf{0}\binom{n}{m}$. We calculate $W_n(F_l)$. Every chain ω from the set F_l has the form

$\omega = (\omega', 0, 1_{(n-l)})$, where $\omega' \in \mathbf{0}\binom{l-1}{m-1}$ and $j_{(k)}$ denotes the sequence $\underbrace{j, j, \ldots, j}_{k}$. Therefore, by (4.2), (4.3), (4.11), and (4.9)

$$W_n(F_l) = W_{l-1}(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{l-1}{m-1}) \cdot 1 \cdot \beta_m^{n-l} = \genfrac\{\}{0pt}{}{l-1}{m-1} \cdot m^{n-l} \,. \tag{4.14}$$

Inserting (4.11) and (4.14) into $W_n(\mathbf{0}\binom{n}{m}) = \sum_{l=m}^{n} W_n(F_l)$, we obtain (4.12).

2) For every $k = 0, 1, \ldots, m$, we introduce the sets

$$H_k := \mathbf{0}\tbinom{n+m+1}{m} \cap \{(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n+m+1}) : \varepsilon_{n+k+1} = 1, \varepsilon_{n+k+2} = \ldots = \varepsilon_{n+m+1} = 0\} \,.$$

It is evident that these sets form a partition of the set $\mathbf{0}\binom{n+m+1}{m}$. We now evaluate $W_{n+m+1}(H_k)$. Every chain $\omega \in H_k$ has the form $\omega = (\omega', 1, 0_{m-k})$, where $\omega' \in \mathbf{0}\binom{n+k}{k}$. Therefore

$$W_{n+m+1}(H_k) = W_{n+k}(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{n+k}{k}) \cdot \beta_k = \genfrac\{\}{0pt}{}{n+k}{k} \cdot k \,. \tag{4.15}$$

Inserting (4.11) and (4.15) into

$$W_{n+m+1}(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{n+m+1}{m}) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} W_{n+m+1}(H_k) \,,$$

we get (4.13). □

The following theorem gives relations between Stirling numbers of the second kind and associated ones with them.

Theorem 4.5. 1) *If $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$ and $1 \le m \le n$, then*

$$\genfrac\{\}{0pt}{}{n}{m} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} j \genfrac\{\}{0pt}{}{n-j-1}{m-j}^{(j)} \,. \tag{4.16}$$

2) *If $n, \nu \in \mathbf{N}$, $1 \le \nu \le n-1$, $0 \le m \le n$, then*

$$\genfrac\{\}{0pt}{}{n}{m} = \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} \genfrac\{\}{0pt}{}{\nu}{k} \genfrac\{\}{0pt}{}{n-\nu}{m-k}^{(k)} \,. \tag{4.17}$$

Proof. 1) For $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m$, we consider the sets

$$G_j := \mathbf{0}\tbinom{n}{m} \cap \{(\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_n) \in \Omega_n : \varepsilon_1 = \ldots = \varepsilon_j = 0, \varepsilon_{j+1} = 1\}.$$

Evidently, G_j form a partition of $\mathbf{0}\binom{n}{m}$. We evaluate $W_n(G_j)$. Every chain $\omega \in G_j$ has the form $\omega = (0_{(j)}, 1, \omega')$, where $\omega' \in \mathbf{0}\binom{n-j-1}{m-j}$. Therefore, by the definition of the weight W_n, we have

$$W_n(G_j) = \beta_j \cdot W^{(j)}_{n-j-1}(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{n-j-1}{m-j}) = j \cdot \genfrac\{\}{0pt}{}{n-j-1}{m-j}^{(j)} \,. \tag{4.18}$$

We recall that $W^{(j)}$ is a weight generated by the sequence $\{j+i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{\begin{matrix} a \\ b \end{matrix}\right\}^{(j)} := W_n^{(j)}(\mathbf{O}\binom{a}{b})$ are numbers associated with Stirling ones of the rank j. Inserting (4.11) and (4.18) into $W_n(\mathbf{O}\binom{n}{m}) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} W_n(G_j)$, we get (4.16).

2) For $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \nu$, we consider the sets $R_k := \mathbf{O}\binom{n}{m} \cap \mathbf{O}\binom{\nu}{k}$. Evidently, R_k form a partition of $\mathbf{O}\binom{n}{m}$. We evaluate $W_n(R_k)$. Every chain $\omega \in R_k$ has the form $\omega = (\omega', \omega'')$, where $\omega' \in \mathbf{O}\binom{\nu}{k}$, $\omega'' \in \mathbf{O}\binom{n-\nu}{m-k}$. By the definition of the weight W_n, we have

$$W_n(R_k) = W_\nu(\mathbf{O}\tbinom{\nu}{k}) W_{n-\nu}^{(k)}(\mathbf{O}\tbinom{n-\nu}{m-k}) = \left\{\begin{matrix} \nu \\ k \end{matrix}\right\} \left\{\begin{matrix} n-\nu \\ m-k \end{matrix}\right\}^{(k)} . \tag{4.19}$$

Inserting (4.11) and (4.19) into $W_n(\mathbf{O}\binom{n}{m}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} W_n(R_k)$, we obtain (4.17). □

Remark 4.1. It is easy to generalize Theorems 4.4 and 4.5 to the case of an arbitrary sequence $\{\beta_j\}$.

5 Stirling numbers of the first kind.

As in Section 4, let $\Omega_n = \{(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n) : \varepsilon_j = 0, 1; 1 \le j \le n\}$, $\gamma = \{\gamma_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive numbers. We introduce a weight w_n on the set Ω_n inductively. We put for $n = 1$,

$$w_1((0)) = 1, \qquad w_1((1)) = \gamma_1 \,, \tag{5.1}$$

and for $m > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} w_m((\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{m-1}, 0)) &= w_{m-1}((\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{m-1})) \,, \\ w_m((\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{m-1}, 1)) &= w_{m-1}((\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{m-1})) \cdot \gamma_m \,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

We define $W_n(A)$ for all $A \subset \Omega_n$ as in (4.4).

For every sequence $\gamma = \{\gamma_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$, for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and integer k, $0 \le k \le n$, we define polynomials η_{nk} in variables γ_j as follows

$$\eta_{nk} := \eta_{nk}(\gamma) := W_n(\mathbf{O}\tbinom{n}{k}) \,. \tag{5.3}$$

For every sequence γ we define also $\eta_{00}(\gamma) = 1$, $\eta_{nk}(\gamma) = 0$ if $k < 0$ or $k > n$. For each nonnegative integer n and $0 \le k \le n$, η_{nk} is a polynomial in the variables γ_i, $1 \le i \le n$.

Definition 5.1. We say that polynomials η_{nk} are *Stirling polynomials of the first kind* generated by the sequence γ.

Polynomials $\eta_{nk}(\gamma)$ satisfy the following recurrence relation.

Theorem 5.1. *Let* $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $0 \le k \le n$, *and* $\eta_{nk}(\gamma)$ *be polynomials in* $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ *defined by* (5.3). *Then*

$$\eta_{nk}(\gamma) = \eta_{n-1,k-1}(\gamma) + \eta_{n-1,k}(\gamma) \cdot \gamma_n \,. \tag{5.4}$$

This is an analogue of Theorem 4.1 and the proof is the same. □

As in the previous section, we write $\gamma^{(l)} := \{\gamma_{l+j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ for every $l \in \mathbf{N}$.

Definition 5.2. Polynomials $\eta_{nk}^{(l)} := \eta_{nk}(\gamma^{(l)})$ in the variables $\gamma_{l+1}, \gamma_{l+2}, \ldots$ are said to be *associated ones of the rank* l with polynomials $\eta_{nk}(\gamma)$.

We consider now a particular case:

$$\gamma_j := j - 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad j \geq 1\,. \tag{5.5}$$

(Therefore, $w_n(\omega) = 0$ for every chain $\omega = (\,\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots, \varepsilon_n\,)$ such that $\varepsilon_1 = 1$.) We get a set of numbers $\tilde{\eta}_{nk} := \eta_{nk}(\{j-1\}_{j=1}^{\infty})$, which satisfy the recurrence relation

$$\tilde{\eta}_{nk} = \tilde{\eta}_{n-1,k-1} + \tilde{\eta}_{n-1,k} \cdot (n-1)$$

and conditions $\tilde{\eta}_{00} = 1$, $\tilde{\eta}_{nk} = 0$ if $k < 0$ or $k > n$. These numbers are called as *Stirling numbers of the first kind* and are denoted by $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ (see (1.3)).

As a result, we can derive the following statement.

Theorem 5.2. *Let* $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $0 \leq k \leq n$. *Then*

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = W_n(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{n}{k})\,, \tag{5.6}$$

where W_n *is the weight on* Ω_n *generated by the sequence* $\gamma = \{j-1\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ *with the help of* (5.1), (5.2), (4.4)

Using (5.6), we will give very simple proofs of two known facts (see, for example, [3], formulas (6.21), (6.23)).

Theorem 5.3. 1) *If* $n \in \mathbf{N}$ *and* m *is an integer such that* $0 \leq m \leq n$, *then*

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{l=m}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} l-1 \\ m-1 \end{bmatrix} l(l+1)(l+2)\ldots(n-1)\,. \tag{5.7}$$

2) *If* $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$, *then*

$$\begin{bmatrix} n+m+1 \\ m \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} (n+k) \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}\,. \tag{5.8}$$

Proof. The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 4.4. To prove (5.7) and (5.8), we consider the sets F_l, $l = m, m+1, \ldots, n$, and H_k, $k = 0, 1, \ldots, m$, introduced in the proof of the first and the second parts of Theorem 4.4, respectively. We find from (5.1) and (5.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} W_n(F_l) &= W_{l-1}(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{l-1}{m-1})\gamma_{l+1}\gamma_{l+2} \cdot \ldots \cdot \gamma_n \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} l-1 \\ m-1 \end{bmatrix} l(l+1)(l+2)\ldots(n-1)\,, \\ W_{n+m+1}(H_k) &= W_{n+k}(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{n+k}{k}) \cdot \gamma_{n+k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix} \cdot (n+k)\,. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating the reasoning from the proof of Theorem 4.4, we obtain (5.7), (5.8). □

The following theorem is an analogue of Theorem 4.5.

Theorem 5.4. 1) *If* $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$, $1 \le m \le n$, *then*

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} j \begin{bmatrix} n-j-1 \\ m-j \end{bmatrix}^{(j)} . \tag{5.9}$$

2) *If* $n, \nu, m \in \mathbf{N}$, $1 \le \nu, m \le n$, *then*

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-\nu \\ m-k \end{bmatrix}^{(\nu)} . \tag{5.10}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 4.5. We consider the sets G_j $(1, 2, \dots, m)$, R_k $(k = 0, 1, \dots, \nu)$introduced there. In our case the weights of these sets are equal to

$$\begin{aligned} W_{n+m+1}(G_j) &= \gamma_{j+1} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} n-j-1 \\ m-j \end{bmatrix}^{(j)} = j \cdot \begin{bmatrix} n-j-1 \\ m-j \end{bmatrix}^{(j)} , \\ W_{n+m+1}(R_k) &= W_\nu(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{\nu}{k}) W_{n-\nu}^{(\nu)}(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{n-\nu}{m-k}) = \begin{bmatrix} \nu \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-\nu \\ m-k \end{bmatrix}^{(\nu)} . \end{aligned}$$

The theorem is now immediate. □

Remark 5.1. It is easy to generalize Theorems 5.3 and 5.4 to the case of an arbitrary sequence $\{\gamma_j\}$.

6 Euler numbers.

As before, let Ω_n be the set of all sequences of the length n with elements 0 and 1, $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\beta_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ be two sequences of positive numbers. Let us introduce a weight on Ω_n by induction on n. For $n = 1$, we set

$$w_1((0)) = \alpha_1 , \qquad w_1((1)) = \beta_0 . \tag{6.1}$$

Let $m > 1$. We define the weight of a chain of the length m as follows

$$\begin{aligned} w_m((\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{m-1}, 0)) &= w_{m-1}((\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{m-1})) \cdot \alpha_{m-k} , \\ w_m((\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{m-1}, 1)) &= w_{m-1}((\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{m-1})) \cdot \beta_k , \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

where $k = \#\{j : 1 \le j \le m-1, \varepsilon_j = 0\}$. In other words, the weight of a chain of the length m equals the product of the weight of the chain consisting of the first $m-1$ terms of a given one and of the weight of the m^{th} term which is equal to α_{m-k}, if this term is 0, and β_k, if it is 1 and if k terms are 0 among the first $m-1$ ones of a given chain. Evidently, definition (6.1) is consistent with definition (6.2), that is (6.1) follows from (6.2) if we take $m=1$ and if we assume that the first term at the right-hand side of both equalities (6.2) equals 1. As before, we define the weight $W_n(A)$ of a set $A \subset \Omega_n$ by the formula (4.4).

For every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and integer k such that $0 \le k \le n$, we define

$$\zeta_{nk} := \zeta_{nk}(\alpha, \beta) := W_n(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{n}{k})\,. \tag{6.3}$$

By definition we put $\zeta_{00}(\alpha,\beta) = 1$ and $\zeta_{nk}(\alpha,\beta) = 0$ whenever $k < 0$ or $k > n$. It is evident that ζ_{nk} are polynomials in the variables α_i, β_j (if we consider α_i, β_j as independent variables).

Definition 6.1. Polynomials $\zeta_{nk}(\alpha,\beta)$ are said to be *Euler polynomials*, generated by sequences α and β.

The following theorem gives a recurrence relation for the polynomials ζ_{nk}.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $0 \le k \le n$, and $\zeta_{nk}(\alpha,\beta)$ be polynomials defined by* (6.3)*. Then*

$$\zeta_{nk}(\alpha,\beta) = \zeta_{n-1,k-1}(\alpha,\beta)\alpha_{n-k+1} + \zeta_{n-1,k}(\alpha,\beta)\beta_k\,. \tag{6.4}$$

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Theorems 4.1 and 5.1. We only note that if A_0 and A_1 are defined as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, then

$$W_n(A_0) = W_{n-1}(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{n-1}{k-1}) \cdot \alpha_{n-(k-1)}\,, \qquad W_n(A_1) = W_{n-1}(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{n-1}{k}) \cdot \beta_k\,.\square$$

Definition 6.2. For every $\nu \in \mathbf{N}$ and integer μ such that $0 \le \mu \le \nu$, we define the polynomials

$$\zeta_{nk}^{(\nu,\mu)}(\alpha,\beta) := \zeta_{nk}(\alpha^{(\nu-\mu)}, \beta^{(\mu)})$$

and call them *polynomials associated with the polynomials $\zeta_{nk}(\alpha,\beta)$ of rank (ν,μ)*. They are polynomials in variables $\alpha_{\nu-\mu+1}, \alpha_{\nu-\mu+2}, \ldots, \beta_\mu, \beta_{\mu+1}, \ldots$ (We recall that if $\delta = \{\delta_j\}_{j=j_0}^\infty$ is a sequence and l is a nonnegative integer, then we denote $\delta^{(l)} := \{\delta_{l+j}\}_{j=j_0}^\infty$.)

We consider a particular case. Let

$$\alpha_l = l - 1 \text{ for all } l \ge 1\,, \qquad \beta_k = k+1 \text{ for all } k \ge 0\,.$$

We obtain a set of numbers $\tilde{\zeta}_{nk} := \zeta_{nk}(\{l-1\}_{l=1}^\infty, \{k+1\}_{k=0}^\infty)$, ($n \in \mathbf{N}_0$, $0 \le k \le n$) such that

$$\tilde{\zeta}_{nk} = \tilde{\zeta}_{n-1,k-1} \cdot (n-k) + \tilde{\zeta}_{n-1,k} \cdot (k+1)\,, \tag{6.5}$$

and $\tilde{\zeta}_{00} = 1$, $\tilde{\zeta}_{nk} = 0$ whenever $k < 0$ or $k > n$. These numbers are called *Euler numbers* and are denoted by $\left\langle {n \atop k} \right\rangle$ (see (1.3)). By (1.3) and (6.5), the following theorem holds.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $0 \le k \le n$. Then*

$$\left\langle {n \atop k} \right\rangle = W_n(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{n}{k}), \tag{6.6}$$

where the weight W_n on Ω_n is generated by the sequences $\alpha = \{l-1\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$, $\beta = \{k+1\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ by means of (6.1), (6.2), *and* (4.4).

The following theorem is an analogue of Theorems 4.4 and 5.3.

Theorem 6.3. 1) *If $n, m \in \mathbf{N}_0$, $0 \le m \le n$, then*

$$\left\langle {n \atop m} \right\rangle = \sum_{l=m+1}^{n} \left\langle {l-1 \atop m-1} \right\rangle (l-m)(m+1)^{n-l}. \tag{6.7}$$

2) *If $n, m \in \mathbf{N}_0$, then*

$$\left\langle {n+m+1 \atop m} \right\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \left\langle {n+k \atop k} \right\rangle (k+1)(n+1)^{m-k}. \tag{6.8}$$

Proof. For all $l = m, m+1, \ldots, n$ and $k = 0, 1, \ldots, m$ we introduce the sets F_l and H_k in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 4.4. By Theorem 6.2 we have

$$W_n(F_l) = W_{l-1}(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{l-1}{m-1})\alpha_{l-(m-1)}\beta_m^{n-l} = \left\langle {l-1 \atop m-1} \right\rangle (l-m)(m+1)^{n-l},$$

$$W_{n+m+1}(H_k) = W_{n+k}(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{n+k}{k})\beta_k\alpha_{n+2}^{m-k} = \left\langle {n+k \atop k} \right\rangle (k+1)(n+1)^{m-k}.$$

The theorem is now immediate. □

Remark 6.1. *For all $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and m such that $0 \le m \le n-1$, the following identity is valid:*

$$\left\langle {n \atop m} \right\rangle = \left\langle {n-1 \atop m} \right\rangle^{(1,0)}. \tag{6.9}$$

For every $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m$, we set

$$Q_j := \mathbf{0}\tbinom{n}{m} \cap \{\omega = (0_{(j)}, 1, \omega') : \omega' \in \mathbf{0}\tbinom{n-j-1}{m-j}\}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} W_n(Q_j) &= \underbrace{\alpha_{1-0}\cdot\alpha_{2-1}\cdot\ldots\cdot\alpha_{j-(j-1)}}_{j}\cdot\beta_j\cdot W_{n-j-1}^{(j+1,j)}(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{n-j-1}{m-j}) \\ &= \alpha_1^j\beta_j\cdot\left\langle {n-j-1 \atop m-j} \right\rangle^{(j+1,j)} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j \ge 1; \\ \left\langle {n-1 \atop m} \right\rangle^{(1,0)}, & \text{if } j = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

Inserting (6.6) and (6.10) into $W_n(\mathbf{0}\binom{n}{m}) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} W_n(Q_j)$, we obtain (6.9).

Theorem 6.4. 1) *If* $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$, $1 \leq m \leq n$, *then*

$$\left\langle \begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix} \right\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n-m} j \left\langle \begin{matrix} n-j-1 \\ m-j \end{matrix} \right\rangle^{(j+1,1)} . \tag{6.11}$$

2) *If* $n, m, \nu \in \mathbf{N}_0$, $0 \leq m \leq n$, $1 \leq \nu \leq n-1$, *then*

$$\left\langle \begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix} \right\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} \left\langle \begin{matrix} \nu \\ k \end{matrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{matrix} n-\nu \\ m-k \end{matrix} \right\rangle^{(\nu,k)} . \tag{6.12}$$

Proof. 1) Just as in the proof of Theorem 4.5, we consider the sets G_j $(j = 0, 1, \ldots, m)$. We have in our case

$$W_n(G_j) = \beta_0^j \alpha_{j+1} W_{n-j-1}^{(j+1,1)}(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{n-j-1}{m-1}) = j \cdot \left\langle \begin{matrix} n-j-1 \\ m-1 \end{matrix} \right\rangle^{(j+1,1)} . \tag{6.13}$$

2) For $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \nu$, we set $R_k := \mathbf{0}\tbinom{n}{m} \cap \mathbf{0}\tbinom{\nu}{k}$. Every element ω of R_k has the form $\omega = (\omega', \omega'')$, where $\omega' \in \mathbf{0}\tbinom{\nu}{k}$, $\omega'' \in \mathbf{0}\tbinom{n-\nu}{m-k}$. Therefore

$$W_n(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{n}{m}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} W_n(R_k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} W_\nu(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{\nu}{k}) W_{n-\nu}^{(\nu,k)}(\mathbf{0}\tbinom{n-\nu}{m-k}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} \left\langle \begin{matrix} \nu \\ k \end{matrix} \right\rangle \left\langle \begin{matrix} n-\nu \\ m-k \end{matrix} \right\rangle^{(\nu,k)} .$$

Remark 6.2. It is easy to generalize Theorems 6.3 and 6.4 to the case of arbitrary sequences $\{\alpha_j\}$ and $\{\beta_j\}$.

References

[1] G. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, London, Amsterdam, 1976.

[2] W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications*, Vol. 1, Third Edition, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1970.

[3] R.L.Graham, D.E.Knuth, O.Patashnik, *Concrete Mathematics*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, London, Amsterdam, 1998.

[4] A. Il'inskii, S. Ostrovska, *Convergence of generalized Bernstein polynomials*, Journal of Approximation Theory, **116** (2002), 100 – 112.

[5] R.P.Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, Vol. 1, Wadsworth & Brooks, 1986.